ATTACHMENT 7

```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
               FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 2
3
                       SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
 4
      IN RE: DA VINCI SURGICAL
     ROBOT ANTITRUST LITIGATION ) Case No.:
5
                                  ) 3:21-cv-03825-VC
6
      THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:
      ALL CASES
 7
      SURGICAL INSTRUMENT SERVICE
8
      COMPANY, INC.,
                 Plaintiff,
10
            vs.
11 |
      INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.,
12
                 Defendant.
13
14
15
                           DEPOSITION OF:
16
                              JOHN WAGNER
17
                      TUESDAY, OCTOBER 11, 2022
18
                   9:05 a.m. Pacific Daylight Time
19
20
     REPORTED BY:
21
     Vickie Blair
22
      CSR No. 8940, RPR-CRR
      JOB NO. 5506653
23
24
     PAGES 1 - 153
25
                                                Page 1
```

```
1
      Deposition of JOHN WAGNER, the witness, taken on behalf
      of the Defendant, on Tuesday, October 11, 2022,
 2
      9:05 a.m. Pacific Daylight Time, before VICKIE BLAIR,
 3
      CSR No. 8940, RPR-CRR.
 4
 5
 6
      APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL VIA ZOOM:
 7
      FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
 8
 9
                COHEN MILSTEIN SELLERS & TOLL
                BY MANUEL J. DOMINGUEZ, Partner
                11780 U.S. Highway One
10
                Suite 500
11
                Palm Beach Gardens, Florida 33408
                877 515 7955
12
                561 515 1401
                jdominguez@cohenmilstein.com
13
      FOR PLAINTIFF/COUNTER-DEFENDANT SURGICAL INSTRUMENT
14
      SERVICE CO. INC.,:
15
                HALEY GUILIANO LLP
                BY DONNY K. SAMPORNA, Associate
                111 North Market Street
16
                Suite 900
17
                San Jose, California 95113
                +1 669 213 1080
                donny.samporna@hglaw.com
18
      FOR DEFENDANT INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.:
19
20
                COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
                BY CORTLIN H. LANNIN, Partner
21
                415 Mission Street
                Suite 5400
                San Francisco, California 94105-2533
22
                +1 415 591 7078
23
                clannin@cov.com
24
25
                                                         Page 2
```

```
1
      APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL VIA ZOOM:
      FOR DEFENDANT INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.: (Continued)
 2
 3
                COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
                BY PAUL STRAUCH
                One CityCenter
 4
                850 Tenth Street, NW
                Washington, D.C. 20001-4956
 5
                +1 202 662 5033
 6
                pstrauch@cov.com
 7
      ALSO PRESENT:
                RAMON A. PERAZA, Videographer
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                                      Page 3
```

Case 3:21-cv-03825-AMO Document 344-7 Filed 06/24/25 Page 5 of 12

1 Q Do you recall how an even more substantial 11:4 2 discount was negotiated in the interim? 11:4 3 A I don't recall, no. 11:4 4 Q You weren't involved in asking Intuitive 11:4 5 for an additional discount on top of the previous one? 11:4 6 A Me personally? 11:4 7 Q Yes. 11:4 8 A Is that what you're asking? 11:4 9 Q You personally, yes. 11:4 10 A I don't recall if I was involved. 11:4	8:00 8:04 8:04 8:08 8:11 8:21 8:21
A I don't recall, no. 11:4 Q You weren't involved in asking Intuitive 11:4 for an additional discount on top of the previous one? 11:4 A Me personally? 11:4 Q Yes. 11:4 A Is that what you're asking? 11:4 Q You personally, yes. 11:4	8:04 8:04 8:08 8:11 8:21 8:21
Q You weren't involved in asking Intuitive 11:4 for an additional discount on top of the previous one? 11:4 A Me personally? 11:4 Q Yes. 11:4 A Is that what you're asking? 11:4 Q You personally, yes. 11:4	8:04 8:08 8:11 8:21 8:21
for an additional discount on top of the previous one? 11:4 A Me personally? 11:4 Q Yes. 11:4 A Is that what you're asking? 11:4 Q You personally, yes. 11:4	8:08 8:11 8:21 8:21 8:23
A Me personally? 11:4 Q Yes. 11:4 A Is that what you're asking? 11:4 Q You personally, yes. 11:4	8:11 8:21 8:21 8:23
7 Q Yes. 11:4 8 A Is that what you're asking? 11:4 9 Q You personally, yes. 11:4	8:21 8:21 8:23
8 A Is that what you're asking? 11:4 9 Q You personally, yes. 11:4	8:21
9 Q You personally, yes. 11:4	8:23
10 A I don't recall if I was involved. 11:4	3:25
11 Q Okay. This email has a number of 11:4	3:26
12 attachments, but we're only going to look at a few of 11:4	3:37
them. Let's start with page three of the PDF. So it 11:4	3:40
14 ends on 15995.	3:46
15 A Okay. 11:4	3:56
Q This email from John Tull at Intuitive to 11:4	3:56
several folks at Valley includes, in the middle there, 11:4	3:59
a listing of the discounts that have been offered at 11:4	9:04
19 this point. 11:4	9:07
Do you see that?	9:08
21 A Yes. 11:4	9:08
Q So, at this point, the discount on the 11:4	9:10
table was \$249,000 if both Valley and NW moved forward 11:4	9:14
24 with the robot; is that fair? 11:4	9:21
25 A That's what's written here. 11:4	9:25
Page 104	I

Case 3:21-cv-03825-AMO Document 344-7 Filed 06/24/25 Page 6 of 12

1	Q It notes, a little bit further down,	11:49:30
2	Mr. Tull wrote (as read):	11:49:34
3	Please note, Scott and I are seeking	11:49:35
4	approval for the pricing protection for an	11:49:38
5	additional Xi purchase in July 2019.	11:49:40
6	Do you see that?	11:49:43
7	A Yes.	11:49:43
8	Q Do you understand what he meant by that?	11:49:43
9	A I could speculate, but I	11:49:49
10	Q What's your your understanding, best	11:49:51
11	understanding of that?	11:49:53
12	A I presume he's referring to if we were to	11:49:54
13	purchase another Xi robot, that there would be some	11:49:59
14	protection of pricing should we choose to purchase	11:50:05
15	that.	11:50:09
16	Q Okay. So, if you look at we're going	11:50:09
17	to skip forward to the attachments were provided a	11:50:16
18	bit out of order in this one, but if you skip to page	11:50:23
19	51 of the PDF, it will end on 160 16043 on the	11:50:27
20	bottom.	11:50:37
21	A 16043?	11:50:56
22	Q Yes.	11:50:58
23	A Yes.	11:50:59
24	Q This is a quote dated August 13, 2018.	11:50:59
25	Do you see that?	11:51:07
	Pag	e 105

Case 3:21-cv-03825-AMO Document 344-7 Filed 06/24/25 Page 7 of 12

1	A Yes.	11:51:07
2	Q And this quote reflects the \$200,000	11:51:07
3	discount on the purchase of an Xi robot.	11:51:12
4	Do you see that?	11:51:16
5	A Yes.	11:51:16
6	Q That's an increase from the earlier quotes	11:51:16
7	we saw; right?	11:51:21
8	A Yes.	11:51:22
9	Q It includes the same \$25,000 discount on	11:51:25
10	the table; correct?	11:51:29
11	A Are you referring to the the section	11:51:31
12	below?	11:51:41
13	Q Yes.	11:51:41
14	A Yes.	11:51:42
15	Q And the proctoring and customer programs,	11:51:42
16	again, we talked about this on the last quote, those	11:51:45
17	are will be provided free; is that right?	11:51:47
18	A On this quote it does, yes.	11:51:54
19	Q And as with the previous quotes, the	11:51:56
20	service the quoted service fee is free for the first	11:51:58
21	year and then \$154,000 a year for the next four years;	11:52:03
22	is that right?	11:52:10
23	A Yes.	11:52:10
24	Q And then, under "Additional Information,"	11:52:10
25	it reads (as read):	11:52:13
	 	e 106

Case 3:21-cv-03825-AMO Document 344-7 Filed 06/24/25 Page 8 of 12

1	This quote will have a \$250,000 Si	11:52:14
2	trade value lock for 12 months.	11:52:18
3	Do you see that?	11:52:21
4	A Yes.	11:52:21
5	Q So, consistent with what you said earlier,	11:52:21
6	Mr. Wagner, does that mean that if Valley went to trade	11:52:26
7	in its Si within the next 12 months, they would receive	11:52:30
8	a \$250,000 discount?	11:52:34
9	A I believe that's what this states.	11:52:37
10	Q All right. If you look at page five of	11:52:39
11	the PDF, so near the top, the digits in the bottom will	11:53:03
12	be 15997	11:53:13
13	A Okay.	11:53:13
14	Q this email was attached or included in	11:53:18
15	the first email we looked at, it's from, again, John	11:53:20
16	Tull to Lindy and Jodi dated August 20th, he writes (as	11:53:23
17	read):	11:53:23
18	Per our discussion Friday morning,	11:53:28
19	attached is the SLSA draft for Valley's	11:53:30
20	review and processing.	11:53:32
21	Do you understand what the SLSA was?	11:53:36
22	A No.	11:53:42
23	Q Mr. Tull writes (as read):	11:53:43
24	As a reminder, a fully executed SLSA	11:53:53
25	is required to acquire a da Vinci system.	11:53:56
	Page	107

Case 3:21-cv-03825-AMO Document 344-7 Filed 06/24/25 Page 9 of 12

1	Mr. Wagner, to the contract that was attached, so it	12:10:49
2	will be begin on page four of the PDF, it ends in	12:10:54
3	2062 I'm sorry, 20652 on the bottom right.	12:10:58
4	Do you see that?	12:11:05
5	A Yes.	12:11:05
6	Q This is the sales, license, and service	12:11:05
7	agreement for the purchase of the Xi robot; is that	12:11:09
8	right?	12:11:17
9	A Yes.	12:11:17
10	Q And, if you turn to page what is page	12:11:17
11	eight of the contract, ending in 20659, you should see	12:11:23
12	Jeannine Grinnell's signature.	12:11:41
13	Do you see that?	12:11:45
14	A Yes.	12:11:45
15	Q This is consistent with what you testified	12:11:45
16	earlier, that it was ultimately Ms. Grinnell's decision	12:11:49
17	to purchase the Xi robot?	12:11:52
18	A She is the final step in authorizing the	12:11:55
19	purchase.	12:11:59
20	Q If you look at two pages after that one,	12:12:02
21	ending in 20661, it's a continuation of what's labeled	12:12:10
22	Exhibit A.	12:12:15
23	Do you see that?	12:12:17
24	A Yes.	12:12:17
25	Q So "Pricing," it indicates the price for	12:12:18
	Page	e 118

Case 3:21-cv-03825-AMO Document 344-7 Filed 06/24/25 Page 10 of 12

1	the Xi robot was \$1.7 million.	12:12:25
2	Do you see that?	12:12:34
3	A Yes.	12:12:34
4	Q So, at this point, from the initial quote	12:12:34
5	that you had received earlier in 2018 to now, the price	12:12:41
6	had dropped by \$200,000; is that right?	12:12:45
7	A I believe so.	12:12:51
8	Q If you look at the "Annual Service Fees"	12:12:52
9	column of that table, it indicates the first year of	12:12:58
10	initial term was included in the system purchase price.	12:13:04
11	Do you see that?	12:13:17
12	A Yes.	12:13:17
13	Q And then, for subsequent years, two to	12:13:17
14	five, the price for the service plan was \$149,000 a	12:13:22
15	year.	12:13:26
16	Do you see that?	12:13:27
17	A Yes.	12:13:27
18	Q The the last quote we looked at, which	12:13:27
19	was Defendant's Exhibit 56, had indicated or quoted a	12:13:34
20	price of \$154,000 for service in years two through	12:13:39
21	five.	12:13:44
22	Do you have any recollection of how the	12:13:45
23	price was reduced another \$5,000 a year since that last	12:13:47
24	quote?	12:13:52
25	A I don't recall.	12:13:53
	Page	: 119

Case 3:21-cv-03825-AMO Document 344-7 Filed 06/24/25 Page 11 of 12

1	Q Okay. I'll represent to you we looked and	12:13:54
2	couldn't find a record of it, so I have no document to	12:13:58
3	show you.	12:14:01
4	And someone, presumably, at some point,	12:14:02
5	asked for an additional discount on the service fee?	12:14:04
6	A I I I don't know if that occurred or	12:14:07
7	not.	12:14:16
8	Q But we can agree that from the last quote	12:14:17
9	to this one, the price had dropped by \$5,000 a year for	12:14:21
10	service in those years?	12:14:27
11	A The price here listed is different than	12:14:30
12	the previous quote, yes.	12:14:35
13	Q Is it possible that the information ECRI	12:14:37
14	conveyed that we discussed earlier, that some	12:14:39
15	facilities had negotiated a discount in the service	12:14:45
16	fee, prompted Valley to ask for a discount on the	12:14:48
17	service fee?	12:14:50
18	A It's possible.	12:14:57
19	Q Do you remember one way or the other	12:14:59
20	whether that happened?	12:15:01
21	A I don't.	12:15:04
22	Q Okay. You can close that document.	12:15:04
23	But you said earlier, Mr. Wagner, you were	12:15:26
24	pleased with the job that your team did in procuring	12:15:29
25	this Xi robot in 2018?	12:15:32
	Page	e 120

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
2) ss.
3	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)
4	I, Vickie Blair, CSR No. 8940, RPR-CRR, in
5	and for the State of California, do hereby certify:
6	That, prior to being examined, the witness
7	named in the foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn
8	to testify as to the truth, the whole truth, and
9	nothing but the truth;
10	That said deposition was taken before me
11	at the time and place therein set forth, and was taken
12	down by me stenographically and thereafter transcribed
13	via computer-aided transcription under my direction and
14	is a true record of the testimony given;
15	I further certify I am neither counsel
16	for, nor related to, any party to said action, nor
17	interested in the outcome thereof;
18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereto
19	subscribed my name this 20th day of October, 2022.
20	
21	
22	
23	No.
24	Victio talan
25	Vickie Blair, CSR No. 8940, RPR-CRR
	Page 150